

ESFRI sli.do room

20 - 28 Jan 2022

Poll results

Table of contents

- *A1. As an individual researcher, do you have a clear understanding of what is the vision behind EOSC, its main goals and its agenda for "Open Science and FAIR data"?*
- *A2. The EOSC vision towards end users presented in Session 3, including the plans of the EOSC Future project, goes in the right direction.*
- *A3. The current state of EOSC platform implementation presented in*
- *A4. EOSC can help ESFRI users to further engage in interdisciplinary research and in open science practices.*
- *A5. New professional roles, skills, and career paths in the field of open science practices, data/software production and curation are essential to cope with the digital transition.*
- *A6. Incentives and rewards will be necessary to onboard scientific communities to the 'Open Science and FAIR agenda' promoted by EOSC.*
- *A7. EOSC targets inclusiveness by ensuring that all research communities and researchers across Europe can profit from EOSC data and services.*
- *A8. The added-value of EOSC for the thematic providers and international RIs is clear and strong.*
- *A9. The cooperation between thematic providers and generic providers (such as GEANT, EGI, EUDAT, OpenAIRE) in the context of EOSC is effective.*
- *A10. The role of the EOSC science clusters and other thematic clouds/portals and their work towards federation with EOSC and EOSC development is effective.*
- *A11. The contribution of ESFRI and other world-class RIs as driving the federation of thematic e-Infrastructure services and fostering Open Science practice in Europe in the development of EOSC is clear.*
- *A14. The role of national/regional initiatives/projects in the EOSC development is vital.*
- *Evaluation form / 3rd ESFRI RIs ESFRI workshop*

A1. As an individual researcher, do you have a clear understanding of what is the vision behind EOSC, its main goals and its agenda for "Open Science and FAIR data"?

0 8 6

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A2. The EOSC vision towards end users presented in Session 3, including the plans of the EOSC Future project, goes in the right direction.

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A3. The current state of EOSC platform implementation presented in Session 3 makes EOSC already attractive from a user perspective.

075

fully agree

● 1 %

agree

● 19 %

neither agree nor disagree

● 45 %

disagree

● 35 %

strongly disagree

● 0 %

A4. EOSC can help ESFRI users to further engage in interdisciplinary research and in open science practices.

066

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A5. New professional roles, skills and career paths in the field of open science practices, data/software production and curation are essential to cope with the digital transition.

0 6 6

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A6. Incentives and rewards will be necessary to onboard scientific communities to the 'Open Science and FAIR agenda' promoted by EOSC.

0 2 4

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A7. EOSC targets inclusiveness by ensuring that all research communities and researchers across Europe can profit from EOSC data and services.

0 2 4

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A8.The added-value of EOSC for the thematic providers and international RIs is clear and strong.

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A9. The cooperation between thematic providers and generic providers (such as GEANT, EGI, EUDAT, OpenAIRE) in the context of EOSC is effective.

059

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A10. The role of the EOSC science clusters and other thematic clouds/portals and their work towards federation with EOSC and EOSC development is effective.

057

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



A11. The contribution of ESFRI and other world-class RIs as driving the federation of thematic e-Infrastructure services and fostering Open Science practice in Europe in the development of EOSC is clear.

056

(1/2)

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



A11. The contribution of ESFRI and other world-class RIs as driving the federation of thematic e-Infrastructure services and fostering Open Science practice in Europe in the development of EOSC is clear.

(2/2)

0 5 6

strongly disagree



A14. The role of national/regional initiatives/projects in the EOSC development is vital.

0 5 6

fully agree



agree



neither agree nor disagree



disagree



strongly disagree



17 %

1. How did you learn about this event?

Social media



Email



ESFRI website



Media



Other



1a. In case you have chosen other in the question 1 indicate what.

- Recommended by our Governmental representative in the Horizon Europe Pillar 1 program for infrastructures
- ERIC Forum website
- Announcement made during the ESFRI Roadmap launch event.
- From the SSHOC EOSC-cluster
- EOSC Future User Group introduction webinar
- EOSC-A newsletter
- I heard about the workshop very recently, nearly by accident, so I guess that publicity was not made as widely as it should have...
- EU LAC ResInfra H2020 project
- EOSC Future User Group
- EOSC TF SI
- From my employer
- Eosc future user group
- LinkedIn
- from colleagues
- Invited to speak
- Direct invitation
- EOSC future

2. Do you think that this event achieved its goals?

Fully agree



Agree



Neither agree nor disagree



Disagree



Strongly disagree



3. How satisfied are you with the scope and content of the sessions offered?

Very satisfied



Satisfied



Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied



Unsatisfied




Fully unsatisfied



4. Were the sessions right for your level of knowledge about the RIs ecosystem? (5 stars fully agree to 0 stars fully disagree)

Score: 4.0



5. How well-organized was the online event?

Very efficiently



Efficiently



Neither efficiently nor inefficiently

● 1 %

Inefficiently

● 0 %

Very inefficiently

● 0 %

6. What was your favorite aspect of the event?

Speakers



Content/conclusions



Interaction via Q&A/slido



Other



6a. In case you have chosen other in the question 6 indicate what

- Discussion among panellists.
- It was great to see a live demo, and not only slides promoting particular projects

7. How satisfied are you with the feedback sessions and the opportunities for interaction and participation?

Very satisfied



Satisfied



Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied



Unsatisfied



Fully unsatisfied



8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (1/10)

- Good progress, still a lot to do and achieve
- Impacts and benefits of EOSC need to be more clearly showed/specified to both the users and RIs and government bodies respectively. A clear vision of its structure is also of utmost importance.
- EOSC is getting a lot of attention and effort being put into it, but that there is a very long way to go to explain what it is to the researchers, and to make it a useful system and not just a nice idea.
- Established ENVRI/EOSC legal entities
- A lot of groundwork has been done towards EOSC implementation. More work has to be done towards the active role of thematic communities (RI and end-users) that have

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (2/10)

- not yet reached an Open Science culture and infrastructure (in terms of interoperability) to benefit from EOSC (generic services or other communities services that are widely applicable).
- More use cases and success stories using EOSC services in research are needed, and these use cases have to be brought to researchers. ESFRI may be involved in generating and disseminating them. (Also, more time for Q&A would have been great.)
 - EOSC is still far from successful implementation and the role of (ESFRI) RIs is absolutely critical in this respect. RIs are the major interfaces between the EOSC and the researchers, as for the majority of the users EOSC is a very fuzzy and unclear policy concept.

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (3/10)

- EOSC is a fundamental pillar for research in Europe
- More work needs to be done for EOSC to be valuable to the researcher community. Better communication is needed for researchers to understand the value of EOSC.
- Still a lot of work!
- Participants of this event should 'translate' the EOSC idea to the language of everyday users of ESFRI RIs so that they

understand what EOSC will bring for them. This is a task of very different complexity for different methodological communities. While some (still quite few) communities are already routinely using EOSC services (often without knowing what they are in fact using) others are still fighting with setting up their own domain-specific metadata scheme that is absolutely necessary for contributing to and using EOSC.

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (4/10)

- Still active development is needed. Lots of discussion with the researchers and lots of development at the infrastructure level. EC focusing on activities with a "pink fog" - too optimistic about the current results since they are mostly proved to be useful in a limited environment. Open Science needs a step by step approach and sustainability for infrastructures.
- The next ESFRI-EOSC workshop should have completely different speakers from the "usual suspects", mostly speakers like Anne Fouilloux, and should target an audience primarily of researchers who are not already very knowledgeable on EOSC. The workshop should be an opportunity for EOSC to showcase the impact it may have on the research lifecycle and for researchers to learn about how their research efforts can improve by using EOSC.

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (5/10)

- There is a need of - Future actions that have to be built together by ESFRI and EOSC - Communication Plan should include and target better the researchers, communities where they are active, and National Contact Point. - There is a need of a kind of „integrator”. There are so many „news” (iniatives, on-going actions, etc.) that did not reach the proper audience. How to improve and solve this ? 😊
- The RI EOSC interaction requires reconsideration. The dominance of EOSC technologies in the implementation is not suszainable and it must be complemented by relevance which clearly comes from the RI .
- I was unaware of ESFRI and EOSC initiatives, possibilities and its full potential. So it has been very helpful to attend to the sessions.
- A lot more efforts should be aimed at advertising EOSC and clarifying what is

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (6/10)

- on offer and also what is not included (CPU, etc.), and above all the benefits have to be clear: open science, collaboration, recognition, training/education, I did not see all that much addressed in the workshop whereas it is essential
- Thematic providers need to be more flexible and not insist on users staying in sometimes old fashioned environments and move to modern ones resembling industrial personalised ones
 - EOOSC is going to be a federated research infrastructure which i dont know how costly will get accessing, but its architecture will highly depend on our level of implication - users, providers, stakeholders etc.
 - landscape
 - EOOSC is action but there is a lot of work to do
 - There is still a great deal of work to be done and the concrete value

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (7/10)

- proposition and benefits of EOSC are unclear to many for whom it is being "built".
- EOSC will not replace currently used infrastructure, but will increase possibility to use infrastructure from other disciplines. The infrastructures have a responsibility to actively contribute to EOSC, while we should try to increasingly bring EOSC under the attention of users.
 - Difficult to engage the RI communities but clear objectives and very valid people committed to do it.
 - There is a long way for clear value for users..
 - We indeed need some clear user stories to bring the idea close to concrete researchers and advertise....
 - It's all about the value add!
 - We have work to do.....
 - How much I don't know about open access and how many aspects there are.

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (8/10)

- That nothing changed in terms of sustainability - the plans are great, how that will be realised in practice is not clear at all. This gap is typical when building infrastructure based on projects. So my main concerns how the EOSC will evolve are not really diminished.
- EOSC works as a catalog of services around FAIR data.
- ESFRI is supporting EOSC (core services - tbd) / ESFRI architecture point out to the national centers(DSw) based on a Open Science Data roadmap
- Good support for the important role of clusters in the next stages, but it may not be easy to achieve the same level if understanding in other contexts. I would recommend a small task force to think about a common promotion approach.
- Promising but a way to go before EOSC becomes usable and practical.

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (9/10)

- The co-operation between EOSC and ESFRI needs to be enhanced to help the RIs make the most of what EOSC offers
- Lost of potential, but long way to go 😊
- As researchers we need more knowledge on eosc/eu initiatives and more more training!
- EOSC seems ready for use, there are lots of services on offer, now we want to have access to sufficient resources making it possible to have a go at it ourselves
- I was not the only one, who was overwhelmed by the EOSC portal and did not know where to start
- 😊 Great demo. by Anne
- It is a radical change in the practice of research that request training!
- Practical use cases
- Good opinions gathered on how well EOSC

8. What was your key takeaway from the event? (10/10)

and EOSC Future are meeting
various objectives.

- There are good opportunities for RI research in EOSC
- Anee's presentation how to USE the platform.
- need more detailed information and some training
- Research use cases and examples