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29 May 2018: the Competitiveness Council adopted conclusions on Accelerating knowledge circulation in the EU which:

“...; INVITES Member States and the Commission within the framework of ESFRI to develop a common approach for monitoring of their (RIs) performance and INVITES the Pan-European Research Infrastructures, on a voluntary basis, to include it in their governance and explore options to support this through the use of Key Performance Indicators”.

19/20 November 2018: ESFRI Monitoring Workshop in Milan, ESFRI establishes the Working Group on Monitoring
ESFRI Monitoring Framework

Deliverables of the Working Group

1. A concept of a common approach for the monitoring of RI performance, including the periodic review of ESFRI Landmarks,

2. A matrix with a core set of KPIs that could be applied across the different RIs,

3. Rationale for the development and optimization of KPIs for specific Research Infrastructures.

4. Recommendations aimed to facilitate the inclusion of the monitoring of RI performance, also by using adapted Key Performance Indicators, in the governance of RIs.
Purpose of the Session today

The Working Group delivered a draft report. You received a short version for this workshop.

KPIs are not included in this version. They were discussed at the Stakeholder Workshop in Brussels on 3rd July 2019 and should not be the focus of our discussion today.

Today’s session is dedicated to your feedback and ideas on the basic structure of the Working Group’s approach.

The Report will be finalized by the Working Group after this workshop and be presented to the ESFRI Forum in December 2019.
### The Speakers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:30 – 15:00</td>
<td>Presentations</td>
<td>Jana Kolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ute Gunsenheimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Werner L. Kutsch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00 – 15:45</td>
<td>Round Table Discussions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45 – 16:05</td>
<td>Short Reports from the Tables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. 2 minutes each table</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focus on two main findings – what is new, what is unexpected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:05 – 16:15</td>
<td>Wrap up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Questions for the Table Discussions

1. What roles do you see for the ESFRI RIs, national funders and ESFRI (Strategic Working Groups, EB, Forum) in the dialogue process on the definition of specific objectives and indicators and in the further process of implementation and "monitoring the monitoring system"?

2. What do you regard as the main obstacles in implementing such an approach, are there major obstacles for the acceptance of such an approach by the RIs?

3. Are there any recommendations missing that would increase usefulness and acceptance of such an approach; taking into account the diversity of RIs?
Thank you