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Rationale behind Session 5

Greater synergies between national RI Roadmaps and funding decisions with the European priorities and funding programmes are needed to foster a more effective implementation of the RIs across their lifecycle and improve their long-term sustainability.

This session will take a look at InRoad recommendations and debate the different funding practices for RIs identified on national roadmaps and will explore how to improve their synergies with the European programmes foreseen under the new MFF.
Speakers

• *National RI Roadmaps and funding models: InRoad recommendations*
  Gerd Ruecker, Senior Scientific Officer, DLR-PT

• *National RI Roadmaps and funding models: practical experiences*
  Jeannette Ridder, Chair of ESFRI Implementation Group
Questions for round table discussions

• Which practices in your national road-mapping and funding procedures would you recommend to other countries for improving synergies with European priorities and programmes? Are there examples when your national procedures have been adapted to better align with the ESFRI roadmap process?

• What lessons did you learn for areas that didn't work so well in your national road-mapping and funding procedures for building synergies with European priorities and programmes and/or to align with the ESFRI roadmap process?

• What improvements in the national road-mapping and funding procedures would be most welcome from the RIs point of view to improve their functioning and long-term sustainability?

• What improvements in the ESFRI road-mapping procedures would be most welcome from the decision makers' and RIs’ points of view?
Question 1

• Which practices in your national road-mapping and funding procedures would you recommend to other countries for improving synergies with European priorities and programmes? Are there examples when your national procedures have been adapted to better align with the ESFRI roadmap process?
Question 2

• What lessons did you learn for areas that didn't work so well in your national road-mapping and funding procedures for building synergies with European priorities and programmes and/or to align with the ESFRI roadmap process?
Question 3

• What improvements in the national road-mapping and funding procedures would be most welcome from the RIs point of view to improve their functioning and long-term sustainability?
Question 4

• What improvements in the ESFRI road-mapping procedures would be most welcome from the decision makers' and RIs’ points of view?
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